## Self Scoring Rubric for the GRFP Essays: Critique Your Drafts

*Instructions:* This is NOT an official document. Rather, the purpose of this scoring rubric is to help you improve the quality of your essay drafts. After you have completed your essays, think about the overall impression you will make with reviewers. To be competitive, each criterion must rate at least a "2." However, to become highly competitive, proposals must also include elements from the "3" column. Suggestion: When you ask others for feedback on your draft essays, you can share a copy of this rubric. It will help them focus on the key elements you should improve in order to have a highly competitive application packet.

	Not competitive		Competitive	Highly competitive
Sample Criterion	0	1	2	3
	(major revisions needed)	(revisions necessary)	(meets requirements)	(elements of top essays)
1. Content				
a. answer the questions in	did not follow instructions;	some sections lack detail;	exactly followed instructions;	novel or intriguing approach;
their entirety	lacks clarity; digresses	circular discussion	clear; adequate details	matches NSF's priorities, goals
b. intellectual merit *	hypothesis or research	need for the research not	necessary skills; access to	will advance knowledge; po-
	questions unclear; illogical;	well argued; methods lack	adequate resources; rigorous	tentially transformative; inter-
	unrealistic; wrong methods	detail; pitfalls	methods; appropriate citations	national collaboration
c. broader impacts*	failed to address; includes	lacks specifics; too loosely	current outreach & teaching	interdisciplinary implications;
	assertions or assumptions; no	connected to scope of work;	efforts; pubs & presentations;	benefits to society; engages
	past/current efforts	promises too much	future plans well reasoned	diverse groups; partnerships
2. Personal Qualities (confirmed by strong reference letters)				
a. characteristics	personality and characteris-	too modest or brags; needs	motivated; ethical; confident;	insightful; strives for
	tics do not emerge; cutesy;	tangible examples of skills;	dependable; shows initiative;	excellence; solid performance;
	indifferent reference letters	generic reference letters	determination; good letters	articulate; exceptional letters
b. potential to establish a	no discussion of having	lacks detail; does not	team work; learns from past	range of research & outreach
research career	acquired prerequisite skills	connect related skills learned	mistakes; problem solver;	experiences; a leader; ability to
		in other settings	perseverance despite setbacks	monitor & assess self; grants
c. intellect & discipline-	fails to describe knowledge	discusses educational	essays are thoughtful & solidly	understands issues/trends in
specific knowledge	gained through college, work	experience only	constructed; discipline-related	discipline; articulates a research
	or life lessons		terms; scholarly	agenda; analytical
d. potential for leadership	failed to address leadership	mentioned volunteerism or	describes skills gained from	active in national organizations;
in within or across		service, but did not address	leadership roles at school, in	commitment to discipline; peer
disciplines		leadership skills	community, or other outreach	mentoring; professionalism
3. Mechanics				
a. format and page limit	did not follow instructions	research plan has missing	exactly followed instructions;	effective use of white space,
	exactly; omitted keywords or	section or is out of order;	consist format and font;	and bold face or italics; uses
	title	overuse of bold, italics, etc.	citations included	subheads for each section
b. readability	grammatical errors; jargon;	repetition; too many clauses	error free; highly understand-	scholarly use of discipline-
	malapropisms;	in a sentence; wordiness;	able; good flow; transitions	related terms; essays
	num nefarfo ora/how to apply/roviou crito	awkward wording	between paragraphs; succinct	complement one another